arXiv:1608.03112v1 [math.NT] 10 Aug 2016

IWASAWA THEORY OF RUBIN-STARK UNITS AND CLASS GROUP

YOUNESS MAZIGH

ABSTRACT. Let K be a totally real number field of degree $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and let p be an odd rational prime. Let K_∞ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K and let L_∞ be a finite extension of K_∞, abelian over K. In this article, we extend results of [Bü 09] relating characteristic ideal of the χ-quotient of the projective limit of the ideal class groups to the χ-quotient of the projective limit of the r-th exterior power of units modulo Rubin-Stark units, in the non semi-simple case, for some $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-irreductible characters χ of $\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a totally real number field of degree $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Fix a rational odd prime p and let K_∞ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K; fix a finite extension L_∞ of K_∞, abelian over K. Fix also a decomposition of

$$\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K) = \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty) \times \Gamma,\ \Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Then the fields $L := L_\infty^\Gamma$ and K_∞ are linearly disjoint over K.

If F/K is a finite abelian extension of K, we write $A(F)$ for the p-part of the class group of F, and $\mathcal{E}(F)$ for the group of global units of F. For a $\mathbb{Z}$-module M, let $\widehat{M} = \varprojlim M/p^nM$ denote the p-adic completion of M. Let

$$A_\infty = \varprojlim A(F), \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty} = \varprojlim \widehat{\mathcal{E}(F)},$$

where the projective limit is taken over all finite sub-extensions of L_∞, with respect to the norm maps. Let $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$ and let

$$\chi : \Delta \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$$

be a non-trivial $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-irreducible character of Δ. Let $\mathcal{O} := \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi]$ be the ring generated by the values of χ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and let $\mathcal{O}(\chi)$ denote the ring $\mathcal{O}$ on which Δ acts via χ. For any $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module M, we define the χ-quotient M_χ by

$$M_\chi := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}(\chi).$$

For any profinite group $\mathcal{G}$, we define the Iwasawa algebra

$$\mathcal{O}[[\mathcal{G}]] := \varprojlim \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}]$$

where the projective limit is over all finite quotient $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. In case $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma$, we shall write

$$\Lambda := \mathcal{O}[[\Gamma]].$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23, 11R27, 11R29, 11R42.
Key words and phrases. Iwasawa theory, Euler systems, local conditions.

It is well known that Λ is a complete noetherian regular local domain, with finite residue field of characteristic p. The structure theorem of Λ-modules shows that for a finitely generated torsion Λ-module M, there exists an injective pseudo-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_i \Lambda/f_i\Lambda \lhook\joinrel\longrightarrow M$$

with $f_i \in \Lambda$, and we define the characteristic ideal of M

$$\mathrm{char}(M) = \prod_i f_i\Lambda.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{St}_\infty := \varprojlim St_n$ the $\mathbb{Z}[[\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K)]]$-module constructed by the Rubin-Stark elements (see Definition 4.5). Our objective in this paper is to compare the characteristic ideal of $(A_\infty)_\chi$ with the characteristic ideal of $((\bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathrm{L}_\infty/\mathrm{K})]]} \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty})_\chi$. Let Σ_∞ be the set of infinite places of K and let L_χ be the fixed field of $\ker(\chi)$. Let $K(1)$ be the maximal p-extension inside the Hilbert class field of K. In the sequel we will assume (for simplicity) that

$$L = L_\chi \quad \text{and} \quad K = L \cap K(1).$$

For a p-adic prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K, let $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}$ denote a Frobenius element at $\mathfrak{p}$ inside the absolute Galois group of K. Assume that

- $(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *The number field L is totally real.*
- $(\mathcal{H}_1)$ *The extension $L/\mathbb{Q}$ is unramified at p.*
- $(\mathcal{H}_2)$ *$\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}) \neq 1$ for any p-adic prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K.*
- $(\mathcal{H}_3)$ *The Leopoldt conjecture holds for every finite extension F of L in L_∞.*

In the semi-simple case Büyükboduk proved

Theorem 1.1. *(Büyükboduk* [Bü 09, Theorem A]*) Assume that the hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}_0) - (\mathcal{H}_3)$ hold. If $p \nmid [L : K]$, then*

$$\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi) = \mathrm{char}\left(((\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty})_\chi \right)$$

There is at least two ideas behind the proof of such a theorem. On the one hand, the result may be stated in terms of Selmer groups. On the other hand, Rubin-Stark elements give rise to Euler systems for the p-adic representation $T = \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$. Mazur and Rubin developed in [MR 04] an Euler system and Kolyvagin system machinery so as to determine the structure of the associated Selmer groups, in the case where a certain cohomological invariant, called the Selmer core rank, is one. As an application to Iwasawa theory Büyükboduk obtains a divisibility relation between the characteristic ideals of the projective limit of these Selmer groups, which transforms into equality if the corresponding Kolyvagin system is primitive. For more detail, see [Bü 07]. He then applied this theory to the proof of Theorem 1.1 by constructing a primitive Kolyvagin system from Rubin-Stark elements and Selmer groups of core rank one.

Remark 1.2. *Mazur and Rubin introduced in* [MR 16] *the notion of Stark system/Kolyvagin system of rank r. They used this notion to determine the structure of Selmer groups, when the core rank is greater than one.*

In this paper we prove

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the hypotheses* $(\mathcal{H}_0)-(\mathcal{H}_3)$ *hold. Then*

$$\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi) \quad \textit{divides} \quad p^d \mathrm{char}\big(((\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty})_\chi\big)$$

where $d = \max_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\{v_p(1-\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}))\}$.

We take our inspiration from [Bü 09]. But if $p \mid [L:K]$ the results of [MR 04], [MR 16] and [Bü 07] do not apply, since the notion of core rank is not defined. Therefore, we are led to use the theory of Euler systems exposed in [Ru 00]. In particular, we construct an ad-hoc Selmer structure and an associated Kolyvagin system. We have to use the structure of the semi-local units, cf. Theorem 5.1. But this is already known, thanks to Greither who applied Coleman's theory in [Gr 96] to determine this structure. In the semi-simple case Büyükboduk used a weak structure theorem of Colmez-Cherbonnier, obtained by using the theory of (φ,Γ)-modules.

2. **Selmer structures**

In this section we recall some definitions concerning the notion of Selmer structure introduced by Mazur and Rubin in [MR 04] and [MR 16]. For any field k and a fixed separable algebraic closure $\overline{k}$ of k, we write $G_k := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{k}/k)$ for the Galois group of $\overline{k}/k$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of integers of a finite extension Φ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let D denote the divisible module $\Phi/\mathcal{O}$. For a p-adic representation T with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$, we define

$$D(1) = D \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p(1), \qquad T^* = \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(T, D(1)),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_p(1) := \varprojlim \mu_{p^n}$ is the Tate module.

Let F be a number field, and for a place w of F, let F_w denote the completion of F at the place w. Let us recall the local duality theorem c.f.[Mi 86, Corollary I.2.3]: For $i = 0, 1, 2$, there is a perfect pairing

$$\begin{aligned} H^{2-i}(F_w,T) \quad \times \quad H^i(F_w,T^*) \quad &\xrightarrow{\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w} \quad H^2(F_w,D(1)) \cong D, \text{if } v \text{ is finite,} \\ \widehat{H}^{2-i}(F_w,T) \quad \times \quad \widehat{H}^i(F_w,T^*) \quad &\xrightarrow{\langle\ ,\ \rangle_w} \quad \widehat{H}^2(F_w,D(1)), \text{if } v \text{ is infinite} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\widehat{H}^*(F_w,.)$ denotes the Tate cohomology group.

Definition 2.1. *Let T be a p-adic representation of G_F with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$ and let w be a non p-adic prime of F. A local condition $\mathcal{F}$ at the prime w on T is a choice of an $\mathcal{O}$-module $H^1_\mathcal{F}(F_w,T)$ of $H^1(F_w,T)$. For the p-adic primes, a local condition at p will be a choice of an $\mathcal{O}$-submodule $H^1_\mathcal{F}(F_p,T)$ of the semi-local cohomology group*

$$H^1(F_p,T) := \oplus_{w|p} H^1(F_w,T).$$

Let I_w denote the inertia subgroup of G_{F_w}. We say that T is unramified at w if the inertia subgroup I_w of w acts trivially on T. We assume in the sequel that T is unramified outside a finite set of places of F.

Definition 2.2. *A Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}$ on T is a collection of the following data:*

- *a finite set $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$ of F, including all infinite places and primes above p, and all primes where T is ramified;*
- *for every $w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F})$, a local condition on T.*

If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Selmer structure on T, we define the Selmer group $H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F,T) \subset H^1(F,T)$ to be the kernel of the sum of the restriction maps

$$H^1(G_{\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}(F),T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus\nolimits_{w\in\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}(H^1(F_w,T)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w,T)) \ , \tag{2}$$

where $G_{\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}(F) := \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\Sigma(\mathcal{F})}/F)$ is the Galois group of the maximal algebraic extension of F which is unramified outside $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$.

A Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}$ on T determines a Selmer structure $\mathcal{F}^*$ on T^*. Namely,

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{F}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}^*), \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(F_w,T^*) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w,T)^{\perp}, \ \text{ if } w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}^*) - \Sigma_p$$

under the local Tate pairing $\langle \ , \ \rangle_w$ and

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(F_p,T^*) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_p,T)^{\perp},$$

under the pairing $\sum_{w|p}\langle \ , \ \rangle_w$.

Example 2.3. *Let w be a place of F and let F_w^{ur} denote the maximal unramified extension of F_w. Define the subgroup of universal norm*

$$H^1(F_w,T)^u = \bigcap_{F_w\subset k\subset F_w^{ur}} \mathrm{cor}_{k,F_w} H^1(k,T),$$

where the intersection is over all finite unramified extensions k of F_w. Let $H^1(F_w,T)^{u,sat}$ denote the $\mathcal{O}$-saturation of $H^1(F_w,T)^u$ in $H^1(F_w,T)$, i.e, *$H^1(F_w,T)/H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w,T)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}$-module and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w,T)/H^1(F_w,T)^u$ has finite length. Following* [MR 16 , Defintition 5.1]*, we define the unramified local condition $\mathcal{F}_{ur}$ by*

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w,T) = H^1(F_w,T)^{u,sat}, \ \textit{if } w \nmid p, \quad \textit{and} \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_p,T) = \bigoplus\nolimits_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1(F_{\mathfrak{p}},T)^{u,sat} \tag{3}$$

For any G_{F_w}-module M, we define the subgroup of unramified cohomology classes $H^1_{ur}(F_w,M) \subset H^1(F_w,M)$ by

$$H^1_{ur}(F_w,M) = \ker(\ H^1(F_w,M) \longrightarrow H^1(I_w,M)\ ).$$

For future use, we record here the following well-known properties of unramified cohomology

(i) $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(F_w,T^*) = H^1_{ur}(F_w,T^*)_{div}, \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(F_p,T^*) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} H^1_{ur}(F_{\mathfrak{p}},T^*)_{div}.$

(ii) If $w \nmid p$ and T is unramified at w, then

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w,T) = H^1_{ur}(F_w,T) \quad \textit{and} \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(F_w,T^*) = H^1_{ur}(F_w,T^*).$$

where for an abelian group A, A_{div} denotes the maximal divisible subgroup of A.
The assertion (i) follows from [PR 92, §2.1.1, Lemme] *and the assertion (ii) can be deduced from* [Ru 00, Lemma 1.3.5].

3. **Iwasawa Theory**

Fix a totally real number field K. Let $r = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ and $K_\infty = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} K_n$ denote the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K. Assume that all algebraic extensions of K are contained in a fixed algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. If F is a finite extension of K and w is a place of F, fix a place $\overline{w}$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ lying above w. The decomposition (resp. inertia) group of $\overline{w}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F$ is denoted by D_w (resp. I_w). If v is a

prime of K and F is a Galois extension of K, we denote the decomposition group of v in F/K by $D_v(F/K)$. Recall that

$$\chi : G_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

is a non-trivial $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-irreducible character, factoring through a finite abelian extension L of K. Assume that L and K_∞ are linearly disjoint over K. Let $L_n = LK_n$ and let $L_\infty = LK_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of L. In the sequel, we will denote by T the p-adic representation

$$T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}).$$

Let Σ be a finite set of places of K containing all infinite places, all p-adic places and all places where T is ramified. If F is an extension of K, we denote also by Σ the set of places of F lying above places in Σ.

Definition 3.1. *Let F be a finite extension of K and let Σ_p denote the set of p-adic primes of K. Following* [MR 16 , Example 5.1]*, we define the canonical and the strict Selmer structures $\mathcal{F}_{can}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{str}$ on T, by*

- $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{can}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}_{str}) = \Sigma$.
- *if $w \nmid p$, $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(F_w, T) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(F_w, T) := H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(F_w, T)$.*
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(F_p, T) := H^1(F_p, T)$, *and* $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(F_p, T) = 0$.

where $\mathcal{F}_{ur}$ is the unramified local condition defined in (3).

Let F'/F be a finite extension of F. Remark that for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{can}$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{ur}$ or $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{str}$, we have

$$\mathrm{cor}_{F'_{w'}, F_w}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F'_{w'}, T)) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{res}_{F_w, F'_{w'}}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w, T^*)) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F'_{w'}, T^*)$$

where $w' \mid w$ and $\mathrm{cor}_{F'_{w'}, F_w}$ (resp. $\mathrm{res}_{F_w, F'_{w'}}$) denote the corestriction (resp. restriction) map. For these local conditions, we write

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(FK_\infty, T) := \varprojlim_n H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(FK_n, T), \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(FK_\infty, T^*) := \varinjlim_n H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(FK_n, T^*)$$

where the projective (resp. injective) limit is taken with respect to the corestriction (resp. restriction) maps.

Recall that for any Galois extension F/F' of number fields, $K \subset F' \subset F$, the restriction map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{res} : H^1(F', T) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(F, T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(F/F')}, \tag{4}$$

c.f. [AMO, Lemme 4.3].

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(K_\infty, T) = 0.$$

Proof. This equality is implicit in [Bü 09, Proposition 2.12]. It is a consequence of the weak Leopoldt conjecture. Indeed, using (4) and passing to the inverse limit, we obtain

$$\varprojlim_n H^1(G_\Sigma(K_n), T) \cong (\varprojlim_n H^1(G_\Sigma(L_n), T))^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)},$$

then $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(K_\infty, T) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(L_\infty, T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)}$. This shows that it suffices to prove $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(L_\infty, T) = 0$. Indeed, for $w \in \Sigma - \Sigma_p$, Proposition B.3.2 of [Ru 00] and (i) of example 2.3 show that

$$\varprojlim_n H^1(L_{n,w}, T) \cong \varprojlim_n H^1_{ur}(L_{n,w}, T) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{ur}}(L_{n,w}, T) = H^1_{ur}(L_{n,w}, T).$$

Then by definition of $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(L_\infty, T)$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(L_\infty), T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1(G_\Sigma(L_n, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1(L_{n,p}, T)\ .$$

Since L_∞ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of L, then the weak Leopold conjecture is true for L_∞/L, e.g. [NSW 91, Theorem 10.3.25]. Therefore, using $\chi(G_L) = 1$, we get

$$\varprojlim_n H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(L_n, T) = 0.$$

□

Let n be a nonnegative integer, we write A_n for the p-part of the class group of L_n and $\mathcal{E}'_n$ for the group of p-units of L_n. Let

$$A_\infty := \varprojlim_n A_n \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty} := \varprojlim_n \widehat{\mathcal{E}'}_n,$$

where all inverse limits are taken with respect to norm maps. It is well known that

$$\varprojlim_n H^1(G_{\Sigma_{p\infty}}(L_n), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \cong \widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty}$$

Then, by (4), we have

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty, T) \cong \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, T) \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)}. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that every infinite place of K is completely decomposed in L/K. Then the Λ-module $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty, T)$ is free of rank $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$.*

Proof. Using Dirichet's unit theorem and the assumption $(\mathcal{H}_0)$, we get

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\mathcal{O}}((\widehat{\mathcal{E}'_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)}) = r.p^n + t$$

where t is a nonnegative integer independent of n. Then by [Gr 94, Theorem], we see that

$$\mathrm{rk}_\Lambda((\widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)}) = r.$$

This finishes the proof of the proposition. □

Proposition 3.4. *The $\mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K)]$-modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_n, T^*)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(A_n, T^*)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. See ğ6.1 of [MR 04]. □

For an $\mathcal{O}$-module M, we denote by $M^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(M, D) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ its Pontryagin dual.

Proposition 3.5. *The Λ-modules $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee$ and $(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(L_\infty, T^*)^\vee)_{\mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)}$ are pseudo-isomorphic.*

Proof. This is [AMO, Proposition 3.8]. □

Lemma 3.6. *If one of the hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}_2)$ or $(\mathcal{H}_3)$ holds then*

$$\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi) \quad \textit{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee).$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_{n,p},T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_n,T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(L_n,T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Since

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_{n,p},T^*) \cong \bigoplus_{w|p} \mathrm{Hom}(D_w/I_w,T^*)$$

Then

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{ur}}(L_{n,p},T^*)^\vee \cong \bigoplus_{v|p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})[\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K)/D_v(L_n/K)].$$

Passing to the projective limit and taking the Δ-co-invariants, we get

$$(\mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})[\mathcal{G}/D_v(L_\infty/K)])_\Delta \simeq \begin{cases} \text{finite}, & \text{if } \chi(D_v(L/K)) \neq 1; \\ \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)/D_v(K_\infty/K)], & \text{if } \chi(D_v(L/K)) = 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$. Using Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5, we obtain that

$$\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi) \quad \text{divides} \quad \mathcal{J}^s \mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee)$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is the augmentation ideal of Λ and $s = \#\{v \mid p\ ;\ \chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v) = 1\}$. It is well known that $\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi)$ is prime to $\mathcal{J}$ in the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension if $(\mathcal{H}_3)$ is satisfied. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

4. **Euler systems of Rubin-Stark units**

In this section, we construct an Euler system in the sense of [Ru 00, Definition 2.1.1] for the p-adic representation $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)\otimes\mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})$, coming from the elements predicted by Rubin-Stark conjecture [Ru 96, Conjecture B'].

We set some notation. Let K be a number field and let F be a finite abelian extension of K. Fix a finite set S of places of K containing all infinite places and all places ramified in F/K, and a second finite set $\mathcal{T}$ of places of K, disjoint from S. Let $G = \mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$ and $\widehat{G} = \mathrm{Hom}(G,\mathbb{C}^\times)$. If $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ we define the modified Artin L-function attached to ρ by

$$L_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s,\rho) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\notin S}(1-\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}^{-s})^{-1} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathcal{T}}(1-\rho(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p})\mathbf{N}\mathfrak{p}^{1-s})$$

where $\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p} \in G$ is the Frobenius of the (unramified) prime $\mathfrak{p}$.

For each $\rho \in \widehat{G}$, there is an idempotent

$$e_\rho = \frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{\sigma\in G}\rho(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[G].$$

Following [Ta 84] we define the Sticklberger element

$$\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s) = \Theta_{S,\mathcal{T},F/K}(s) = \sum_{\rho\in\widehat{G}} L_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s,\rho^{-1})e_\rho$$

which we view as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-valued meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ and let $r_S(\rho)$ be the order of vanishing of $L_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s,\rho)$ at $s=0$. Recall that

$$r_S(\rho) = \mathrm{ord}_{s=0}L_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s,\rho) = \begin{cases} |\{v\in S\ :\ \rho(D_v(F/K)) = 1\}|, & \rho \neq 1; \\ |S|-1, & \rho = 1. \end{cases}$$

(see for example [Ta 84] Proposition I.3.4), where $D_v(F/K)$ is the decomposition group of v relative to F/K.

Before stating the Rubin-Stark conjecture we record some hypotheses $\mathbf{H}(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$:

(1) S contains all the infinite primes of K and all the primes which ramify in F/K;
(2) S contains at least r places which split completely in F/K;
(3) $|S| \geq r+1$;
(4) $\mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$, $S \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$ and $U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F)$ is torsion-free,

here $U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F)$ is the group of S-units of F which are congruent to 1 modulo all the primes in $\mathcal{T}$.

Remark 4.1. *Conditions* (2) *and* (3) *ensure that* $s^{-r}\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}(s)$ *is holomorphic at* $s=0$. *Condition* (4) *is easily satisfied. For example, if* $\mathcal{T}$ *contains primes of two different residue characteristics.*

We will identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F), \mathbb{Z}[G])$ with a submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\mathbb{C} \otimes U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F), \mathbb{C}[G])$. For any r-tuple $(\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_r) \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F), \mathbb{Z}[G])^r$, we define a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-morphism

$$\mathbb{C} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F) \xrightarrow{\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_r} \mathbb{C}[G]$$

by

$$\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_r(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_r) := \det_{1 \leq i,j \leq r}(\phi_i(u_j)),$$

for any $u_1, \cdots, u_r \in U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F)$.

For any $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module M with trivial $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion and any positive integer r, we let

$$M_{r,S} := \big\{ x \in M \mid \ e_\rho . x = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{C} \otimes M \text{ for all } \rho \in \widehat{G} \text{ such that } r_S(\rho) > r \big\}.$$

Assuming that $(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$ satisfies hypotheses $\mathbf{H}(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$ and $r \geq 1$, let $\Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}}$ the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-submodule of $\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F)$ defined by

$$\Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}} := \left\{ \begin{matrix} x \in (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F))_{r,S} \quad \mid \quad (\phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \phi_r)(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[G], \\ \forall \phi_1, \cdots, \phi_r \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F), \mathbb{Z}[G]) \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Remark 4.2. *It is immediate that for* $r=1$, *we have* $\Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}} = \widetilde{(U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F))}_{1,S}$, *where for a* $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-*module* M, $\widetilde{M}$ *denotes the image of* M *via the canonical morphism* $M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes M$. *For a general* $r \geq 1$, *we have inclusions*

$$|\ G\ |^n\ \Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}} \subset \widetilde{(\bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}})_{r,S}} \subset \Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}},$$

for sufficiently large positive integer n. *Since* $U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F)$ *has finite index in* $U_S(F)$,

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes \Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}} = (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F))_{r,S} = (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_S(F))_{r,S}.$$

Remark also that the module $(\mathbb{Q}U_S(F))_{r,S}$ *is isomorphic to* $(\mathbb{Q}[G]_{r,S})^r$ *over* $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. *Therefore, every element* $x \in (\mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_S(F))_{r,S} \cong \bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Q}[G]_{r,S}} (\mathbb{Q} \otimes U_S(F))_{r,S}$ *can be written as* $x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_r$, *with* $x_i \in (\mathbb{Q} \otimes U_S(F))_{r,S}$ *for all* i.

Let $V = \{v_1, \cdots, v_r\}$ be a set of r places in S, which split completely in F/K and let $W = \{w_1, \cdots, w_r\}$ be a set of places of F such that w_i lies above v_i, for all $i = 1, \cdots, r$. For any place w_i, we define the G-equivariant map:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \lambda_{w_i}: & U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}[G] \\ & x & \longmapsto & -\sum_{\sigma\in G}\log(|\sigma(x)|_{w_i})\sigma^{-1}. \end{array}$$

Rubin's $\mathbb{C}[G]$-linear regulator

$$\mathrm{Reg}_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{w_1,\cdots,w_r} : \mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \textstyle\bigwedge^r_{\mathbb{Z}[G]} U_{S,\mathcal{T}}(F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[G]$$

is defined by

$$\mathrm{Reg}_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{w_1,\cdots,w_r} := \lambda_{w_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge\lambda_{w_r}.$$

Let $\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{(r)}(0)$ be the coefficient of s^r in the Taylor series of $\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}$;

$$\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{(r)}(0) := \lim_{x\to 0} s^{-r}\Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{(r)}(s).$$

Conjecture 1. **RS**$(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$. *Suppose that $(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$ satisfies hypotheses* **H**$(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$. *Then, for any choice of V and W, there exists a unique element $\varepsilon_{F,S,\mathcal{T}} \in \Lambda_{S,\mathcal{T}}$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Reg}_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{w_1,\cdots,w_r}(\varepsilon_{F,S,\mathcal{T}}) = \Theta_{S,\mathcal{T}}^{(r)}(0).$$

Remark 4.3. *The truth of the Rubin-Stark conjecture* **RS**$(F/K, S, \mathcal{T}, r)$ *does not depend on the particular choice of V and W.*

Now fix a totally real number field K and let $r = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. Recall that

$$\chi : G_K \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times$$

is a non-trivial $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$-irreducible character, factoring through a finite abelian extension L of K. Assume that L and K_∞ are linearly disjoint over K, and L is the fixed field of $\ker\chi$. We continue to assume that our representation is

$$T = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)\otimes\mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}).$$

For a cycle $\mathfrak{r}$ of K, let $K(\mathfrak{r})$ be the maximal p-extension inside the ray class field of K modulo $\mathfrak{r}$. Let $L_n = LK_n$ and let $\mathfrak{f}_n$ denote the finite part of the conductor of L_n/K. Remark that $\mathfrak{f}_n$ has the form $\mathfrak{f}_n = \mathfrak{h}\mathfrak{s}_n$, where $\mathfrak{h}$ is prime to $\mathfrak{s}_n$ for all n and does not depend on n; moreover $\mathfrak{s}_n$ is divisible only by those prime ideals of $\mathcal{O}_K$ which ramify in L_∞/L. For any extension F of K, we define $F(\mathfrak{r})$ as the composite of $K(\mathfrak{r})$ and F, and for any ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, we denote the product of all distinct prime ideals dividing $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}}$. Let us also assume that S contains the set Σ_∞ and at least one finite place, but does not contain any p-adic prime of K. For any Galois extension F of K, we denote the set of ramified primes in F/K by $\mathrm{Ram}(F/K)$. Let

$$S_F = S\cup\mathrm{Ram}(F/K).$$

Following Büyükboduk [Bü 09] we choose $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}$ where $\mathfrak{q}_0$ is a prime such that $p\nmid \mathbf{N}\mathfrak{q}_0 - 1$ and $\mathfrak{q}_0\nmid 2$, for such $\mathcal{T} = \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}$ we have

$$\widehat{U_{S_F,\mathcal{T}}(F)} = \widehat{U_{S_F}(F)}$$

Moreover, if F is totally real then the hypothesis **H**$(F/K, S_F, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}, r)$ is satisfied. Let

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}},\ L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{r}) \ :\ \mathfrak{r} \text{ is a finite cycle of } K \text{ prime to } \mathfrak{q}_0\mathfrak{f}_\chi p,\ \mathfrak{g}\mid\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}} \text{ and } n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$$

where $L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$ is the maximal subextension of L_n whose conductor is prime to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}\mathfrak{g}^{-1}$, and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is the conductor of χ. In the rest of this paper we assume

$$(\mathcal{H}_0): \text{ the number field } L \text{ is totally real,}$$

and that

$$\text{the conjecture } \mathbf{RS}(F/K, S_F, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}, r) \text{ holds, for all } F \in \mathcal{K}_0.$$

Remark 4.4. *Let $\varepsilon_{n,\mathfrak{g}} = \varepsilon_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}, S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}}, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}}$ be the Rubin-Stark element for the conjecture $\mathbf{RS}(L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}/K, S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}}, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}, r)$. Since $\mid S_{L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}} \mid > r+1$ for $n \geq 1$, the element $\varepsilon_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$ lies in $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$ denotes the group of global units of $L_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Definition 4.5. *Let n be a nonnegative integer. We denote by St_n the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K)]$-module generated by the inverse images of $\varepsilon_{n,\mathfrak{g}}$ under the map $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes \bigwedge^r \mathcal{E}_n$ for all $\mathfrak{g} \mid \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.*

Recall that for any number field F, Kummer theory gives a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(F, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \cong F^{\times,\wedge} := \varprojlim F^\times/(F^\times)^{p^n}.$$

Since $\chi(G_{L_n(\mathfrak{r})}) = 1$ for every $n \geq 0$,

$$H^1(L_n(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}) \cong H^1(L_n(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})).$$

Therefore

$$L_n(\mathfrak{r})^{\times,\wedge} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}) \cong H^1(L_n(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})). \tag{6}$$

Let $\varepsilon_n(\mathfrak{r}) = \varepsilon_{L_n(\mathfrak{r}), S_{L_n(\mathfrak{r})}, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}}$ be the Rubin-Stark element for $\mathbf{RS}(L_n(\mathfrak{r})/K, S_{L_n(\mathfrak{r})}, \{\mathfrak{q}_0\}, r)$. By Remark 4.2, $\varepsilon_n(\mathfrak{r})$ can be uniquely written as $\varepsilon_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varepsilon_r$, with $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Q} \otimes L_n(\mathfrak{r})^\times$. Let us note

$$\varepsilon_{n,\chi}(\mathfrak{r}) := \widehat{\varepsilon_1} \otimes 1_{\chi^{-1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\varepsilon_r} \otimes 1_{\chi^{-1}} \tag{7}$$

where $\widehat{\varepsilon_i}$ is the image of ε_i by the natural map $\mathbb{Q} \otimes L_n(\mathfrak{r})^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} L_n(\mathfrak{r})^{\times,\wedge}$. Then under the isomorphism (6), we can view each

$$\varepsilon_{n,\chi}(\mathfrak{r}) \text{ as an element of } \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(L_n(\mathfrak{r}), \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1})).$$

For any cycle $\mathfrak{r}$ which is prime to $\mathfrak{q}_0\mathfrak{f}_\chi p$, and every $n \geq 0$, we define

$$c_n(\mathfrak{r}) = \mathrm{cor}^{(r)}_{L_{n+1}(\mathfrak{r}), K_n(\mathfrak{r})}(\varepsilon_{n+1,\chi}(\mathfrak{r})), \quad c_n = \mathrm{cor}^{(r)}_{L_{n+1}, K_n}(\varepsilon_{n+1,\chi}) \tag{8}$$

where $\mathrm{cor}^{(r)}_{L_{n+1}(\mathfrak{r}), K_n(\mathfrak{r})}$ is the map

$$\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(L_{n+1}(\mathfrak{r}), T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}), T)$$

induced by the corestrection map

$$\mathrm{cor}_{L_{n+1}(\mathfrak{r}), K_n(\mathfrak{r})} : H^1(L_{n+1}(\mathfrak{r}), T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}), T) \ .$$

For the convenience of the reader we recall that for any finite group G and any $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module M, we have a map

$$\iota_M : \bigwedge^{r-1}_{\mathcal{O}[G]} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[G]}(M, \mathcal{O}[G]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\Phi \otimes \bigwedge^r_{\mathcal{O}[G]} M, \Phi \otimes M) \ . \tag{9}$$

Indeed, the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[G]}(M,\mathcal{O}[G]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\Phi\otimes M,\Phi[G])$ gives a morphism

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^{r-1}_{\mathcal{O}[G]}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[G]}(M,\mathcal{O}[G]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1}_{\Phi[G]}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\Phi\otimes M,\Phi[G])\ .$$

On the other hand, the map

$$f : \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\Phi\otimes M,\Phi[G]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\textstyle\bigwedge^{s}_{\Phi[G]}\Phi\otimes M,\bigwedge^{s-1}_{\Phi[G]}\Phi\otimes M)$$

defined by $f(\psi)(m_1\wedge\cdots\wedge m_s)=\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{s}(-1)^{i+1}\psi(m_i)m_1\wedge\cdots\wedge m_{i-1}\wedge m_{i+1}\cdots\wedge m_s$, and iterated from $s=r$ to $s=2$ gives a morphism

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^{r-1}_{\Phi[G]}\mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\Phi\otimes M,\Phi[G]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Phi[G]}(\bigwedge^{r}_{\Phi[G]}\Phi\otimes M,\Phi\otimes M)\ .$$

Let us recall also that for any finite Galois extensions $F\subset F'$ of K, the norm map from F' to F induces a homomorphism

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^{r-1}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F'}]}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F'}]}(H^1(F',T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F'}]) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{r-1}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F}]}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F}]}(H^1(F,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F}]) \qquad (10)$$

where $\Delta_F=\mathrm{Gal}(F/K)$. In particular, the collection

$$\Big(\bigwedge^{r-1}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}]}(H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}),T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}])\Big)_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$$

is a projective system for the maps given in (10).

Definition 4.6. *Let $\Psi=\{\psi_{n,\mathfrak{r}}\}_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$ be an arbitrary element of*

$$\varprojlim_{n,\mathfrak{r}}\bigwedge^{r-1}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}]}(H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}),T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}]).$$

Let us identify $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$ with its image $\iota_M(\psi_{n,\mathfrak{r}})$ under (9)*, $M=H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}),T)$. We define*

$$\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}:=\psi_{n,\mathfrak{r}}(c_n(\mathfrak{r}))\quad\textit{and}\quad\varepsilon^{\chi}_{n,\Psi}:=\psi_n(c_n),$$

where $c_n(\mathfrak{r})$ is given in Definition (8)*.*

By the defining integrality property of the elements $\varepsilon_n(\mathfrak{r})$ and Corollary 1.3 in [Ru 96], we get

$$\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}\in H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}),T)\quad\text{and}\quad\varepsilon^{\chi}_{n,\Psi}\in H^1(K_n,T).$$

Remark that for every $n\geq 0$, we have $\mathrm{cor}_{K_n(1),K_n}(\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{1})^{\chi})=\varepsilon^{\chi}_{n,\Psi}$.

Proposition 4.7. *The collection $\{\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}\}_{n\geq 0,\mathfrak{r}}$ is an Euler system for the G_K-representation T, in the sense of* [Ru 00, Definition 2.1.1]*.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 6.2 in [Ru 96]. □

5. **Modifying the local condition at p.**

In this section, we modify the classical local conditions at the primes above p to obtain a Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}$ on T. To this end we assume the hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_2)$ all throughout. The following theorem is crucial for our purpose. It is a direct consequence of [Gr 96, Theorem 2.2].

Theorem 5.1. *For any p-adic prime v of $K(\mathfrak{r})$, the $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})_v/\mathbb{Q}_p)]]$-module*

$$H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T) := \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_v, T)$$

is free of rank one.

Proof. Let $F = L(\mathfrak{r})$ and $F_n = L_n(\mathfrak{r})$. Fix a place w of F lying above v. By [Gr 96, Theorem 2.2], we have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)]]$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n U^1(F_{n,w}(\mu_p)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathcal{V}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

where the module $\mathcal{V}(1)$ is free cyclic over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathrm{Gal}(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)]]$. Taking the $\mathrm{Gal}(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w})$-cohomology of the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n U^1(F_{n,w}(\mu_p)) \xrightarrow{h} \mathrm{im}(h) \longrightarrow 0\ ,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{im}(h) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}(1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \longrightarrow 0$$

and remarking that

$$H^i(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) = 0, \quad \text{for } i \geq 0$$

we obtain

$$H^0(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w}, \varprojlim_n U^1(F_{n,w}(\mu_p)) \cong H^0(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w}, \mathcal{V}(1))$$

It follows that

$$\varprojlim_n U^1(F_{n,w}) \cong H^0(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w}, \mathcal{V}(1)).$$

Since $\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_v) \neq 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T) &\cong (\varprojlim_n U^1(F_{n,w}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})_v)} \\ &\cong (H^0(F_w(\mu_{p^\infty})/F_{\infty,w}, \mathcal{V}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^{\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})_v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})_v/\mathbb{Q}_p)]]$-module of rank one. □

Corollary 5.2. *The $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})/K)]]$-module*

$$H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)$$

is free of rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$. In particular, $H^1_{Iw}(K_p, T)$ is a free Λ-module of rank $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$.

Proof. Let v be a p-adic prime of $K(\mathfrak{r})$. By Theorem 5.1 we see that $H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T)$ is a free $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})_v/K_w)]]$-module of rank $[K_w : \mathbb{Q}_p]$, where w is a place of K lying below v. Then

$$H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_p, T) = \oplus_{v|p} H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_v, T)$$

is a free $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty(\mathfrak{r})/K)]]$-module of rank $\sum_{w|p}[K_w : \mathbb{Q}_p] = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. □

Let $\mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{n,\mathfrak{r}} K_n(\mathfrak{r})$ and let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)$.

Corollary 5.3. *The $\mathcal{O}[[\mathcal{G}]]$-module $\mathbb{V} := \varprojlim_{n,\mathfrak{r}} H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T) = \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{r}} H^1_{Iw}(K(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)$ is free of rank $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$.*

Proof. Immediate after Corollary 5.2. □

Remark 5.4. *This corollary is a generalization of* [Bü 09, Corollary 3.10].

If $F \subset F'$ is an extension of fields, we will write $F \subset_f F'$ to indicate that $[F' : F]$ is finite.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}$ be a finite extension and let $\mathcal{G}_F$ denote the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/F)$. Let $d = \max_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\{v_p(1-\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}))\}$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of K. Then the canonical map*

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \longrightarrow H^1(F_p, T)$$

is injective, with cokernel annihilated by p^d.

Proof. For any p-adic place v of F, we fixe a place $\overline{v}$ of $\mathcal{K}$ lying above v. One has

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \cong \bigoplus_{\substack{v|p \\ v\subset F}} \Big(\varprojlim_{F_v \subset_f F'_w \subset \mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}} H^1(F'_w, T)\Big)_{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)}$$

where $D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)$ is the decomposition subgroup of v in $\mathcal{K}/F$. By dualizing the inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$H^1(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}}) \hookrightarrow H^1(F_v, T^*) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}, T^*)^{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)} \longrightarrow H^2(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}}),$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$H^2(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}})^\vee \longrightarrow (H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}, T^*)^{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)})^\vee \longrightarrow H^1(F_v, T^*)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow (H^1(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}}))^\vee$$

Since $H^2(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}})^\vee$ is a torsion $\mathcal{O}$-module and

$$(H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}, T^*)^{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)})^\vee \cong \Big(\varprojlim_{F_v \subset_f F'_w \subset \mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}} H^1(F'_w, T)\Big)_{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)}$$

is a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}$-module, then we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (H^1(\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}, T^*)^{D_v(\mathcal{K}/F)})^\vee \longrightarrow H^1(F_v, T^*)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow (H^1(D_v(\mathcal{K}/F), (T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}}))^\vee .$$

Since $T^* = D(\chi)$, then for every $K \subset F \subset \mathcal{K}$, $H^0(K_p, T^*) = H^0(F_p, T^*)$. Therefore

$$p^d.(T^*)^{G_{\mathcal{K}_{\overline{v}}}} = 0$$

where $d = \max_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\{v_p(1-\chi(\mathrm{Frob}_\mathfrak{p}))\}$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime of K. It follows that the canonical map

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \longrightarrow H^1(F_p, T)$$

is injective, with cokernel annihilated by p^d. □

As Büyükboduk did in [Bü 09], we fix a free $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)]]$-direct summand $\mathbb{L}$ inside of

$$\mathbb{V} = \varprojlim_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}} H^1(F_p, T) = \varprojlim_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}} \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$$

which is free of rank one as $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)]]$-module. Recall that Σ is a finite set of places of K containing all infinite places, all p-adic places and all places where T is ramified.

Definition 5.6. *Define the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}$ on T by*

- $\Sigma(\mathcal{L}) = \Sigma$,
- *if* $w \nmid p$, $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_w, T) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(F_w, T)$,
- $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T) \subset H^1(F_p, T)$ *as the* $\mathcal{O}$*-saturation of* $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$ *in* $H^1(F_p, T)$.

5.1. **Choosing homomorphisms.** Let us keep the same notation as above. In this subsection, we show the existence of a homomorphism $\Psi' = (\Psi'_F)_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}}$ such that

$$\Psi'_F\big(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T)\big) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T).$$

Fix a basis $\{\psi^{(i)}_{\mathbb{L}}\}_{i=1}^{r-1}$ of the free $\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)]]$-module

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)]]}(\mathbb{V}/\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{O}[[\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K)]])$$

of rank $r-1$. This in return fixes a basis $\{\psi^{(i)}_{\mathcal{L}_F}\}_{i=1}^{r-1}$ for the free $\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]$-module

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}/\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

for all $K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}$, such that the homomorphisms $\{\psi^{(i)}_{\mathcal{L}_F}\}_{i=1}^{r-1}$ are compatible with respect to the maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F'}]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{F'}}/\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_{F'}}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_{F'}]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}/\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

for $F \subset_f F'$. Let $\psi^{(i)}_F$ denote the image of $\psi^{(i)}_{\mathcal{L}_F}$ under the canonical injection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}/\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])\ .$$

Remark that the map

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{L}_F} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r-1} \psi^{(i)}_F : \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]^{r-1}$$

is surjective and $\ker(\Psi_{\mathcal{L}_F}) = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$.

Define

$$\Psi_F := \psi^{(1)}_F \wedge \psi^{(2)}_F \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi^{(r-1)}_F \in \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]).$$

We may therefore regard $\Psi := (\Psi_F)_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}}$ as an element of the module

$$\varprojlim_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}, \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\Psi := (\Psi_F)_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}}$ be as above. Then for every $K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}$, Ψ_F induces an isomorphism*

$$\Psi_F : \bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\Psi_{\mathcal{L}_F}) = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of [Bü 09, Proposition 3.17], which follows the proof of Lemma B.1 of [MR 04]. □

Proposition 5.8. *There exists an element*

$$\Psi' = (\psi'_F)_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}} \in \varprojlim_{K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p, T), \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

such that for any $K \subset_f F \subset \mathcal{K}$,

$$\psi'_F(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p,T)) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p,T).$$

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 5.5, the canonical map

$$\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \longrightarrow H^1(F_p,T)$$

is injective, with cokernel annihilated by p^d. Then the cokernel of the canonical map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F},\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

is annihilated by p^d. Hence the cokernel of

$$\varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F},\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]) \qquad (11)$$

is annihilated by $p^{d(r-1)}$. Therefore $p^{d(r-1)}\Psi$ is an element of the image of the map (11), where Ψ is defined in Proposition 5.7. Then

$$(p^{d(r-1)}\psi_F)(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p,T)) \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F} \subset H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p,T). \quad \square$$

5.2. **Kolyvagin systems for** $(T,\mathcal{L})$**.** In this subsection, we show that the Kolyvagin's derivative class associated to the Euler system of Rubin-Stark elements defines a Kolyvagin system for the modified Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}$.

Let $c_{K,\infty} = \{\varepsilon^{\chi}_{n,\Psi}\} \in H^1_{Iw}(K,T)$ denote the element corresponding to the Euler system $\{\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}\}_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$ in $H^1_{Iw}(K,T) = \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n,T)$.

Proposition 5.9. *Let* $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{L}}$ *be the set of the maps* $\Psi = (\Psi_F)_F \in \varprojlim_{K\subset F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F},\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$ *such that* $\Psi_F(\bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}) = \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$. *Let* loc_p *denote the localization map at* p*;*

$$\mathrm{loc}_p : H^1(K,T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_p,T)\ .$$

Then

$$\{\mathrm{loc}_p(\varepsilon^{\chi}_{0,\Psi}) : \Psi \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{L}}\} = [\bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{O}.\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_0)]\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Corollary 3.5 of [Bü 08] line by line. $\square$

Remark 5.10. *If the localization map* $\mathrm{loc}_p : H^1(K,T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_p,T)$ *is injective, then by* [Ru 96, Proposition 6.6 (ii)]*, we see that* $[\bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{O}.\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_0)] < \infty$.

Let F be a finite extension of K in $\mathcal{K}$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Selmer structure on T. For any cycle $\mathfrak{r}$ of K, we write $\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}$ for the Selmer structure defined by

- $\Sigma(\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cup \Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}$
- $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(F_w,T) = \begin{cases} H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(F_w,T), & \text{if } w \in \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) - \Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}; \\ H^1(F_w,T), & w \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}}. \end{cases}$

where $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{r}} = \{w \subset F\,; w \mid \mathfrak{r}\}$.
Let M be a power of a uniformizer of $\mathcal{O}$ and let $W_M = T/MT$. Recall that for any Euler system $\mathbf{c}$ of T we can associate a Kolyvagin derivative class $\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]}$, see [Ru 00, §4.4]. Recall also that

$$\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]} \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{can}}(K_n, W_M)$$

c.f. [Ru 00, Theorem 4.5.1]. Next, we construct an Euler system $\mathbf{c}$ of T such that

$$\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]} \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(K_n, W_M).$$

For this we need some facts about the local condition $\mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $\mathcal{L}_F := \mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$, then*

$$p^d.(H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F) = 0$$

where $d = \max_{\mathfrak{p}|p}\{v_p(1 - \chi(\mathrm{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))\}$.

Proof. First consider, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F \longrightarrow H^1(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1(F_p, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)$$

By definition, the $\mathcal{O}$-module $H^1(F_p, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)$ is torsion-free, then

$$\mathrm{tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(H^1(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F) = H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F.$$

Second the facts that $\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}/\mathcal{L}_F$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion-free and $H^1(F_p, T)/\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$ is $\mathcal{O}$-torsion, and the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}/\mathcal{L}_F \longrightarrow H^1(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1(F_p, T)/\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$$

show that

$$\mathrm{tor}_{\mathcal{O}}(H^1(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F) \cong H^1(F_p, T)/\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}.$$

Then, by Proposition 5.5, we get

$$p^d.(H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_F) = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.12. *Let $G_{n,\mathfrak{r}} = \mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K_n)$. Then the cokernel of*

$$H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, W_M) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, W_M)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}}$$

is annihilated by p^d.

Proof. Let $F = K_n(\mathfrak{r})$ or $F = K_n$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T \xrightarrow{M} T \longrightarrow W_M \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Using [MR 04, Lemma 3.7.1], [MR 04, Lemma 1.1.5] and the fact that $H^1(F_p, T)$ is torsion-free $\mathcal{O}$-module, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T) \xrightarrow{M} H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, W_M) \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

Since the restriction $\text{res} : H^1(K_{n,p}, T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}}$ is an isomorphism and the $\mathcal{O}$-module $H^1(K_{n,p}, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T)$ is torsion-free, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_{n,p}, T) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_{n,p}, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow res & & \wr\downarrow res & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & \longrightarrow & (H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T))^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & & \end{array}$$

shows that the map $H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore, we have an exact commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T) & \xrightarrow{M} & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, T) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, W_M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \wr\downarrow res & & \wr\downarrow res & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & \xrightarrow{M} & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & \longrightarrow & H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, W_M)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} & & \end{array}$$

Then by the snake lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{coker}(\ H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, W_M) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, W_M)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}}\ ) &\cong \text{coker}(\ H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}} \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, W_M)^{G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}}\ ) \\ &= H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T))[M] \end{aligned}$$

where $H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T))[M]$ is the submodule of $H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T))$ annihilated by M. Therefore it suffices to prove that

$$p^d.H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)) = 0.$$

For this, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})} \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})} \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

By cohomology we obtain the exact sequence

$$H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, \mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)) \longrightarrow H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}) \longrightarrow H^2(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, \mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})})$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}$ is a free summand of $\mathbb{V}_{\text{Gal}(\mathcal{K}/K_n(\mathfrak{r}))}$ as $\mathcal{O}[\text{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K)]$-module, it follows that

$$H^i(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}_n) = 0 \quad \text{ for } \ i \geq 1.$$

Hence

$$H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)) \cong H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}).$$

Since $p^d.(H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/\mathcal{L}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}) = 0$ (see Lemma 5.11), we get

$$p^d.H^1(G_{n,\mathfrak{r}}, H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)) = 0. \qquad \square$$

Let $c_n(\mathfrak{r}) \in \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}), T)$ be the element defined in (8) and let loc_p denote the localization map into the semi-local cohomology at p

$$\text{loc}_p :\ \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}), T) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)\ .$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)$, it follows that

$$\text{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_n(\mathfrak{r})) \in \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}}.$$

The defining (integrality) property of the Rubin-Stark elements shows that, for any

$$\psi = \psi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \psi_r \in \bigwedge^r \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}}, \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K)])$$

we have

$$\psi(\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_n(\mathfrak{r})) \in \mathcal{O}[\mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K)].$$

Hence, by Example 1 following Proposition 1.2 in [Ru 96], we get

$$\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_n(\mathfrak{r})) \in \bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}}.$$

Let F be a finite extension of K in $\mathcal{K}$, the map

$$\mathrm{loc}_p : H^1(F,T) \longrightarrow H^1(F_p,T)\ .$$

induces a map

$$\varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

The image of $\Psi \in \varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$ in $\varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F,T),\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$ will still be denoted by Ψ. Let Ψ' be the element constructed in Proposition 5.8 and let $\{\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^\chi\}_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$ be the Euler system for T associated to Ψ' (see Proposition 4.7). Using Proposition 5.8 and the fact that $\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(c_n(\mathfrak{r})) \in \bigwedge^r \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_{K_n(\mathfrak{r})}}$, we see that

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^\chi) \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p,T). \tag{12}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be Selmer structures on T. Following [MR 04, §2.1], we say that $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}$ if

$$H^1_{\mathcal{G}}(K_v,T) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K_v,T) \quad \text{for all prime } v.$$

If $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}$ we have an exact sequence [MR 04, Theorem 2.3.4]

$$H^1_{\mathcal{G}}(K,T) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K,T) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_v H^1_{\mathcal{F}}(K_v,T)/H^1_{\mathcal{G}}(K_v,T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{G}^*}(K,T^*)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*}(K,T^*)^\vee.$$

The following lemma is crucial for our purpose

Lemma 5.13. *Let $\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]}$ denote the Kolyvagin's derivative class, associated to the Euler system $\mathbf{c} = \{p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^\chi\}_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$, constructed in* [Ru 00, Chap IV,§4]. *Then*

$$\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]} \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(K_n,W_M)$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}} \leq \mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{can}$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^1_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(K_n,W_M) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{can}}(K_n,W_M) \longrightarrow H^1(K_{n,p},W_M)/H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p},W_M)\ .$$

Theorem 4.5.1 of [Ru 00] shows that $\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]} \in H^1_{\mathcal{F}^{\mathfrak{r}}_{can}}(K_n,W_M)$. Then it suffices to prove that

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]}) \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p},W_M)$$

where loc_p is the localization map into the semi-local cohomology at p. Let $D_{\mathfrak{r}}$ denote the derivative operator, defined as in [Ru 00, Definition IV.4.1]. Since

$$\mathrm{loc}_p : H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r}),T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p,T)$$

is Galois equivariant,

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(D_{\mathfrak{r}}p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}) = D_{\mathfrak{r}}\mathrm{loc}_p(p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}).$$

Furthermore, using (12), we get

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}) \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T).$$

On the other hand, by [Ru 00, Lemma 4.4.2], $D_{\mathfrak{r}}p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi} \mod M$ is fixed by $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K_n)$, then

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(D_{\mathfrak{r}}p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}) \mod M \in (H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T)/MH^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})_p, T))^{\mathrm{Gal}(K_n(\mathfrak{r})/K_n)}.$$

By [Ru 00, Lemma 4.4.13] and Proposition 5.12 we have

$$\mathrm{loc}_p(\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]}) \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_{n,p}, W_M).$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Recall that we proved in Proposition 5.8 the existence of an element

$$\Psi' = \{\psi'_F\}_F \in \varprojlim_{K\subset_f F\subset\mathcal{K}} \bigwedge^{r-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta_F]}(H^1(F_p, T), \mathcal{O}[\Delta_F])$$

such that $\Psi'_F(\bigwedge^r H^1(F_p, T)) \subset H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)$. Let $\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty} = \{p^d.\varepsilon^{\chi}_{n,\Psi'}\}_n \in H^1_{Iw}(K, T)$ denote the element corresponding to the Euler system $\{p^d.\varepsilon_{n,\Psi'}(\mathfrak{r})^{\chi}\}_{n,\mathfrak{r}}$ in $H^1_{Iw}(K, T) := \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, T)$.

Remark that under the Leopoldt conjecture for L, the localization map

$$\mathrm{loc}_p : H^1(K, T) \longrightarrow H^1(K_p, T)$$

is injective. Then by Remark 5.10 and Proposition 5.9, we can find an element Ψ' such that $\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty} \neq 0$.
One of the keys of the proof of the main theorem of this article is the following result

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose the hypotheses* $(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *and* $(\mathcal{H}_3)$ *hold. Then*

$$\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee) \quad \textit{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_\infty, T)/\Lambda.\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty})$$

Proof. Remark that for any place $v \nmid p$

$$H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_v, T) = H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(F_v, T).$$

Then the proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of [Ru 00, Theorem 2.3.3] if we replace

$$S_{\Sigma_p}(F, W^*_M) \quad \text{by} \quad H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(T, T^*[M])$$

and

$$S^{\Sigma_{p\mathfrak{r}}}(F, W_M) \quad \text{by} \quad H^1_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(F, W_M).$$

We only need to justify the following facts:

(i) $\kappa_{[K_n,\mathfrak{r},M]} \in H^1_{\mathcal{L}^{\mathfrak{r}}}(K_n, W_M)$.
(ii) $(H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee)_{\Gamma_n}$ and $\Lambda_{\Gamma_n}/\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee)\Lambda_{\Gamma_n}$ are finite.

The assertion (i) is Lemma 5.13. For the assertion (ii), on the one hand, we have a surjective map

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \ .$$

On the other hand, the kernel of the restriction

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty, T^*) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(L_\infty, T^*)$$

is finite. Then it suffices to prove that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(L_\infty, T^*)^{\Gamma_n}$ is finite. Let M_∞ be the maximal abelian p-extension of L_∞ which is unramified outside the primes above p and let $\mathfrak{X}_\infty = \mathrm{Gal}(M_\infty/L_\infty)$. We may identify $H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(L_\infty, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^\vee$ with $\mathfrak{X}_\infty$ c.f. [Ru 00, §I.6.3].
The Leopoldt conjecture for L_n shows that $\mathfrak{X}_\infty^{\Gamma_n} = 0$ e.g. [NSW 91, Proposition 11.3.3]. Then

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(L_\infty, T^*)^{\Gamma_n} \quad \text{is finite.} \qquad \square$$

Proposition 6.2. *Suppose that the hypotheses $(\mathcal{H}_0)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_3)$ hold. Then*

$$\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee) \quad \textit{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}(H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p, T)/\mathrm{loc}_p(\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty}))$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{str} \leq \mathcal{L}$, we have an exact sequence

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(K_\infty, T) \hookrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_\infty, T) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_p} H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p, T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \ .$$

Lemma 3.2 shows that $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(K_\infty, T) = 0$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(K_\infty, T)/\Lambda.\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty} \longrightarrow H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p, T)/\mathrm{loc}_p(\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty}) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{L}^*}(K_\infty, T^*)^\vee \ .$$

Theorem 6.1 permits to conclude. $\square$

Since K_∞ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of K, the finite primes of K do not split completely in K_∞/K. Therefore, taking the inverse limit in Lemma 5.11 we deduce that the Λ-modules

$$\varprojlim_{K \subset F \subset K_\infty} \mathcal{L}_F \quad \text{and} \quad H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p, T) := \varprojlim_{K \subset F \subset K_\infty} H^1_{\mathcal{L}}(F_p, T)$$

are pseudo-isomorphic. The Λ-modules

$$H^1_{Iw}(K_p, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \varprojlim_{K \subset F \subset K_\infty} \mathbb{V}_{\mathcal{G}_F}$$

are also pseudo-isomorphic, thanks to Proposition 5.5. Therefore, by Proposition 5.7, we conclude that the Λ-modules

$$H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p, T) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigwedge^r H^1_{Iw}(K_p, T) \text{ are pseudo-isomorphic.} \tag{13}$$

Let ι denote the composite of the natural maps

$$\bigwedge^r \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n, T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n, T))$$

and let $c_\infty := \{c_n\}_{n \geq 0} \in \varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n, T))$, where c_n is defined in Definition (8).

Theorem 6.3. *Let* $\mathbf{c}$ *be an element in* $\iota^{-1}(p^d.c_\infty)$. *Under the hypotheses* $(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *and* $(\mathcal{H}_3)$,

$$\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee) \quad \textit{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}((\bigwedge^r H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T))/\Lambda.\mathbf{c})$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_{str} \leq \mathcal{F}_{can}$ and $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{str}}(K_\infty,T)=0$ (see Proposition 3.2), we have an exact sequence

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T)\xhookrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_p} H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T) \longrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee \twoheadrightarrow H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee \ .$$

Proposition 3.3 (resp. Lemma 5.2)) shows that the Λ-module $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T)$ (resp. $H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T)$) is free of rank r, then the injection $H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T)\xhookrightarrow{\mathrm{loc}_p} H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T)$ induces an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow (\textstyle\bigwedge^r H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T))/\Lambda.\mathbf{c} \longrightarrow (\bigwedge^r H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T))/\Lambda.(\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(\mathbf{c})) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}) \ ,$$

where $\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}$ denotes the map induced on the r-th exterior power. Hence

$$\mathrm{char}((\bigwedge^r H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T))/\Lambda.(\mathrm{loc}_p^r(\mathbf{c}))) = \mathrm{char}((\bigwedge^r H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T))/\Lambda.\mathbf{c}).\mathrm{char}(\mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)})).$$

On the other hand, using (13), we see that the Λ-modules
$\bigwedge^r H^1_{Iw}(K_p,T)/\Lambda.\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)}(\mathbf{c}))$ and $H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p,T)/\Lambda.\mathrm{loc}_p(\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty})$ are pseudo-isomorphic. Since

$$\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee) = \mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{can}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee).\mathrm{char}(\mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{loc}_p)),$$

it follows that

$$\mathrm{char}(H^1_{\mathcal{F}^*_{str}}(K_\infty,T^*)^\vee) \quad \text{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}(H^1_{Iw,\mathcal{L}}(K_p,T)/\Lambda.\mathrm{loc}_p(\mathbf{c}_{K,\infty}))$$

(see Proposition 6.2). Hence the result follows from the fact that

$$\mathrm{char}(\mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{loc}_p^{(r)})) = \mathrm{char}(\mathrm{coker}(\mathrm{loc}_p))$$

see [Bo, page 258]. □

Proposition 6.4. *Under the assumption* $(\mathcal{H}_3)$, *the cokernel of*

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))_\Delta \xrightarrow{N_\Delta} (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta$$

is pseudo-null, where $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(L_\infty/K_\infty)$.

Proof. This is Theorem 5.13 of [AMO]. □

Corollary 6.5. *Under the assumption* $(\mathcal{H}_3)$, *the cokernel of*

$$\textstyle\bigwedge^r(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))_\Delta \xrightarrow{N_\Delta^{(r)}} \bigwedge^r(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta$$

is pseudo-null.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of Λ of height ≤ 1. By Proposition 6.4, the cokernel of

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))_\Delta \xrightarrow{N_\Delta} (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta$$

is pseudo-null, then

$$\mathrm{Im}(N_\Delta)_\mathfrak{p} \cong ((\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta)_\mathfrak{p},$$

so

$$(\mathrm{Im}(N_\Delta^{(r)}))_{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigwedge^r \mathrm{Im}(N_\Delta)_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \bigwedge^r ((\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

It follows that the cokernel of

$$\bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))_\Delta \xrightarrow{N_\Delta^{(r)}} \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta$$

is pseudo-null. □

Recall that St_n denotes the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K)]$-module generated by the Rubin-Stark elements (see Definition 4.5). Recall also that

$$c_n = \mathrm{cor}_{L_{n+1},K_n}^{(r)}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n+1,\chi})$$

denotes the element defined in (8). Let $St_\infty := \varprojlim_n St_n$ and let $\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{\infty,\chi} := \{\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n,\chi}\}_{n\geq 1}$. Since for $n \geq 1$, $c_n = \mathrm{cor}_{L_n,K_n}^{(r)}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n,\chi})$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{res}_{K_n,L_n}^{(r)}(c_n) &= \mathrm{res}_{K_n,L_n}^{(r)}(\mathrm{cor}_{L_n,K_n}^{(r)}(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n,\chi})) \\ &= |\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{n,\chi}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the fact that the restriction map

$$\mathrm{res}_{K_n,L_n} : H^1(K_n,T) \longrightarrow H^1(L_n,T)^{\mathrm{Gal}(L_n/K_n)}$$

is an isomorphism, see (4). We obtain

$$|\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta((\widehat{St_\infty})_\chi) = \Lambda \mathbf{c},$$

where $\mathbf{c}$ is the inverse image of $|\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta(\widetilde{\varepsilon}_{\infty,\chi})$ under the composite

$$\bigwedge^r \varprojlim_n H^1(K_n,T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n,T) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \bigwedge^r H^1(K_n,T)) .$$

Recall that

$$H^1_{\mathcal{F}_{can}}(K_\infty,T) \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta \quad \text{(see (5))}.$$

Proof Theorem 1.3. Consider the commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & (\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty})_\chi & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})_\chi \longrightarrow \big(\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty}/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty}\big)_\chi \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle |\Delta|^{r-1}N_\Delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle N_\Delta^{(r)}} \qquad \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow & |\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta(\mathbf{c}) & \longrightarrow & \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})^\chi & \longrightarrow \bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})^\chi / |\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta(\mathbf{c}) \\ & & & \downarrow & \\ & & & \mathrm{coker}(N_\Delta^{(r)}) & \end{array}$$

where $(\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})^\chi = (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta$. Corollary 6.5 shows that the Λ-module $\mathrm{coker}(N_\Delta^{(r)})$ is pseudo-null, so

$$\mathrm{char}(\bigwedge^r (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty})^\chi / |\Delta|^{r-1} N_\Delta(\mathbf{c})) \quad \text{divides} \quad \mathrm{char}\Big(\big(\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty}/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty} \big)_\chi \Big).$$

Since $\chi(D_v(L/K)) \neq 1$ for any p-adic prime of K, then

$$(\widehat{\mathcal{E}'_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(\chi^{-1}))^\Delta.$$

Hence the theorem follows from Theorem 6.3 and Lemma 3.6;

$$\mathrm{char}((A_\infty)_\chi) \quad \text{divides} \quad p^d.\mathrm{char}\Big(\big(\bigwedge^r \widehat{\mathcal{E}_\infty}/\widehat{\mathrm{St}_\infty}\big)_\chi \Big).$$

References

[AMO] **Assim, J. Mazigh, Y. Oukhaba, H.** *Théorie d'Iwasawa des unités de Stark et groupe de classes.* to appear in International Journal of Number Theory.

[Bo] **Bourbaki. N** *Algèbre commutative, chapitre 7, Diviseurs*, Hermann, 1965

[Bü 07] **Büyükboduk, K.** Λ-*adic Kolyvagin systems*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 14, 3141-3206

[Bü 08] **Büyükboduk, K.** *Kolyvagin systems of Stark units* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 631 (2009), 85-107.

[Bü 09] **Büyükboduk, K.** *Stark units and the main conjectures for totally real fields*. Compos. Math. 145 (2009), no. 5, 1163-1195.

[Gr 94] **Greither, C.** *Sur les normes universelles dans les $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions.* Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 6 (1994), 205-220.

[Gr 96] **Greither, C.** *On Chinburg's second conjecture for abelian fields* J. Reine Angew. Math. 479 (1996), 1-37.

[Mi 86] **Milne, J.***Arithmetic Duality theorems.* Acad. Press, Boston, 1986.

[MR 04] **Mazur, B.; Rubin, K.** *Kolyvagin systems*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 168(799):viii+96, 2004.

[MR 16] **Mazur, B.; Rubin, K.** *Controlling Selmer groups in the higher core rank case*. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 28 (2016), no. 1, 145-183.

[NSW 91] **Neukirch, J.; Schmidt, A.; Wingberg, K.** *Cohomology of Number Fields*. Springer (1991).

[Ne 06] **Nekovář, J.** *Selmer complexes*. Astérisque 310 (2006).

[PR 92] **B. Perrin-Riou.** *Théorie d'Iwasawa et hauteurs p-adiques*. Invent. Math. 109 (1992) 137-185.

[Po 02] **Popescu, C.** *Base change for Stark-type conjectures "over $\mathbb{Z}$"*. J. Reine Angew. Math, 524:85-111, 2002.

[Ru 96] **Rubin, K.** *A Stark conjecture "over $\mathbb{Z}$" for abelian L-functions with multiple zeros*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 46(1):33-62, 1996.

[Ru 00] **Rubin, K.** *Euler systems*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 147. Hermann Weyl Lectures. The Institute for Advanced Study. Princeton University Press, Princeton,

[Ta 84] **Tate, J.** *Les conjectures de Stark sur les fonctions L d'Artin en s=0*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc, 1984. Lecture notes edited by Dominique Bernardi and Norbert Schappacher.

Département de mathématiques
Faculté des sciences de Meknes
B.P. 11201 Zitoune
Meknes, Maroc
mazigh.younes@gmail.com

Laboratoire de mathématique
16 Route de Gray
25030 Besançon
cedex, France
youness.mazigh@univ-fcomte.fr